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Abstract
This paper presents two lexical data bases for Romanian: RoMorphoDict, a dictionary of inflected forms and RoSyllabiDict, a dictionary of syllabified inflected forms. Each data basis is available in two Unicode formats: text and XML. An entry of RoMorphoDict, in text format, contains information on inflected form, its lemma, its morpho-syntactic description and the marking of the stressed vowel in pronunciation, while in XML format, an entry, representing the whole paradigm of a word, contains further informations about roots and paradigm class. An entry of RoSyllabiDict, in both formats, contains information about unsyllabified word, its syllabified correspondent, grammatical information and/or type of syllabification, if it is the case. The stressed vowel is also marked on the syllabified form. Each lexical data base includes the corresponding inflected forms of about 65,000 lemmas, that is, over 700,000 entries in RoMorphoDict, and over 500,000 entries in RoSyllabiDict. Both resources are available for free. The paper describes in detail the content of these data bases and the procedure of building them.

1. Introduction
This paper presents two data bases, one of complete paradigms of Romanian words (a morphological dictionary called RoMorphoDict) and the other of syllabified (inflected) words (a syllable dictionary called RoSyllabiDict). Each data basis is available in two Unicode formats: text and XML. The main information of an entry in the morphological dictionary consists of an inflected word, its lemma and its morphological description. If a word has no inflected form, this position is occupied by the lemma form. An entry of the syllable dictionary contains an inflected form, its syllabified form and an observation field. The procedure of building them and the presentation of the results make up the content of this paper.

2. The utility of such dictionaries in NLP
A morphological dictionary can be used wherever a lemmatizer is needed. Explaining what a lemmatizer is good for would be a waste of time, because it is a real basic tool in NLP.

For Romanian, there are concerns to build rather morphological analyzers or generators, than such dictionaries of huge dimensions. We mention, in this sense, (Bîrlădeanu & Burciu, 2006) and (Dumitriu, 2006a, 2006b). The latter work uses the tool Unitex described in (Paumier 2006). Another work is a complex tool named RoLingva, which includes inflected lemmas, stress information and a morphological analyzer, but this is a commercial self-contained tool and cannot be used in NLP applications. A previous step in building a morphological dictionary is represented in (Ionescu, 2003). We think lemmatizers (analyzer or/and generator) for Romanian have two major challenges. They have to face, on the one hand, with a rich system of phonetic alternations and irregular forms, and, on the other hand, with the high degree of ambiguity given by the rich inflectional morphology of Romanian. Furthermore, they are strongly time-consuming. However, they have the advantage of treating unknown word. A morphological dictionary, instead, presents a high-level accuracy and it is much faster to use. Its weak points are, indeed, the unknown words.

With respect to syllables dictionary, it has an uncontroversial utility in speech research. For previous work in Romanian syllabification, see (Dinu, 2006).

3. The morphological dictionary: RoMorphoDict

3.1 Building procedure
The Romanian morphological dictionary RoMorphoDict is based on the printed dictionary that prescribes the correct writing, pronunciation and inflection of the Romanian words, known with the abbreviation DOOM (1989). It contains about 65,000 entries of words in contemporary Romanian lexicton, covering all parts of speech. It also provides combinations of words which induce writing difficulties, but these were ignored in our task.

Actually, for automatically building RoMorphoDict, we had at our disposal an electronic copy of DOOM and an explicit inventory of Romanian paradigms for nouns and verbs. We consider our paradigm inventory explicit because we have considered two paradigms to be different if they differ by at least one form. For each paradigm all the corresponding endings are mentioned. An entry in DOOM, Fig.1, has the following basic structure, where POS means part-of-speech, MSD – morphosyntactic description and INF – inflectional form.

The morphosyntactic description precedes the corresponding inflected form.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>lemma</th>
<th>POS</th>
<th>MSD1</th>
<th>INF1</th>
<th>MSD2</th>
<th>INF2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>abandoná vb., ind. prez. 1 sg.</td>
<td>abandonéz, 3 sg. şi pl.</td>
<td>abandononeáz</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: DOOM entry

This entry describes the verb (vb.) abandoná (the
infinitive form - to abandon) which has the form abandonéz for indicative, present, first person singular, and the form abandoneáză for indicative, present, third person singular and plural. Notice that the accent on the stressed vowel is present, for indicating the pronunciation, even if it is not marked in usual Romanian writing.

The number of pairs MSD-INF can vary from zero (for non inflectional parts-of-speech) to a value depending on the number of irregular forms or on authors’ choice.

Two grammars were written for analyzing the verbal entries and nominal entries (nouns and adjectives), respectively. Pronouns were treated, as a close class, manually.

While describing the grammars, we encountered difficulties mainly due to description inconsistencies in printed dictionary, the lack of explicit information and errors in electronic copy.

The next step, after interpreting the entry in DOOM, was to add the rest of the inflected forms. This has been done by going over the following steps:

- Identifying the paradigm which provides endings for all the forms specified in DOOM. It is possible to get more paradigms matching the provided forms. In this case, a paradigm list is created and the first identified paradigm is conventionally taken into account for the next steps. On the other hand, if no paradigm is found, the paradigm inventory is enriched with that illustrated by the respective entry.
- Cutting the endings of one or more DOOM forms in order to get the root(s) corresponding to different moods or tenses.
- Assembling the rest of the inflected forms (i.e. the empty cells in Table 1) from the root(s) and the corresponding paradigm endings.

Afterwards, the output had to be checked by students. By means of a special program, the students’ attention was focused especially on the following possible errors:

- Ambiguity – when a list of possible paradigms exists. This is due to the fact that sometimes DOOM does not specify all the distinguishing forms. For instance, if for two verbs with the same conjugation, except their imperative forms, DOOM does not specify for each verb its imperative form, then there is a paradigm ambiguity. Students had to disambiguate these cases.
- Accent – there are cases when the stress of a verb is either on the root, or on the ending during verbal conjugation. The program assembling the inflected forms sometimes missed this kind of change. Students had to check every inflected form to have one and only one accent.
- Root – Romanian words exhibit a rich system of consonantal and vowel alternations. Inflected forms of a verb can have up to 5 different roots. These cases could induce errors during automatic inflection process.
- Interpreting errors – as one well knows, often descriptions in printed dictionaries are not explicit enough for automatic processing. Therefore, it was possible our entry analyzing grammars to give interpreting errors.

At the moment, RoMorphoDict counts 775,969 entries for about 65,000 lemmas.

### 3.2 Entry formats

RoMorphoDict is available in two variants: one in a text format on three columns and one in XML format.

#### 3.2.1. Three columns format

Entries on three columns have the following structure:

```
INF<tab>LEM<tab>MSD
```

where INF means inflected form, LEM – lemma and MSD – morphosyntactic description.

Example (1) shows words of different parts of speech. Some homonyms should be explicitly described, such as ‘abandoná’, others could be contracted in one line, if the obtained MSD does not create ambiguity or errors of interpretation. For instance, the last line in the example: ‘japonéze japonéz s/adj,f,f-pl,n-a.neart.’ stays for ‘japonéze japonéz s.f.pl,n-a.neart.’ and ‘japonéze japonéz adj,f-n.pl,n-a.neart.’.

(1) abandoná abandoná v.inf. 
abandoná abandoná v.ind.imperf.3sg. 
abandoná abandoná v.imper.neg.2sg. 
únde únde adv/conjct. 
zup zup interj. 
doi doi num. 
oricé oricé pr/det.m-f,n.sg,n-a. 
sub sub prep. 
japonéze japonéz s/adj,f,f-pl,n-a.neart

The meanings of the labels used in MSD are the following.

#### Parts of Speech:

| adv = adverb | adj = adjective |
| conjet = conjunction | det = determiner |
| interj = interjection | num = numeral |
| pr = pronoun | prep = preposition |
| s = noun | v = verb |
Verbal moods:

- **ind** = indicative
- **conj** = conjunctive
- **ger** = gerundive
- **imper** = imperative
- **inf** = infinitive
- **part** = participle
- **part-adj** = adjectival participle

Verbal tenses:

- **imperf** = imperfect
- **prez** = present
- **mmperf** = plusqueparfait
- **perf** = simple perfect

Persons & Numbers:

- **1** = first person
- **2** = second person
- **3** = third person
- **sg** = singular
- **pl** = plural
- **sg-pl** = singular and plural

Combinations: 1sg, 1pl, 2sg, 2pl, 3sg, 3pl

Cases:

- **nv** = nominative
- **a** = accusative
- **g** = genitive
- **vc** = vocative
- **d** = dative
- **g-d** = genitive and dative
- **n-a** = nominative and accusative

Genders:

- **m** = masculine
- **f** = feminine
- **n** = neuter
- **f-n** = feminine and neuter
- **m-n** = masculine and neuter
- **m-f-n** = masculine, feminine and neuter

Noun and Adjective Article:

- **art** = with enclitic
- **neart** = without enclitic

Pronominal Forms:

- **acc** = stressed
- **neacc** = unstressed

Verbal Polarity:

- **neg** = negated form

Disjunction operator: / = or (e.g. s/adj = noun or adjective).

A MSD includes such labels joint by dots. Labels are unambiguous, so that their position in MSD is irrelevant.

Accents are not used in the Romanian writing. If one wants to apply the dictionary on Romanian written text, the stressed vowels in dictionary have to be changed with the corresponding unstressed vowels, in the following manner: á > a, é > e, ó > o, ú > u, à > a, ã > a, ă > i.

### 3.2.2. The XML format

The XML variant of RoMorphoDict is more informative than the previous one. Besides the morphosyntactic description, it provides information about the paradigm number, about roots and about the correspondence between roots and inflected forms. In (2), a verb example is given, where the elements and attributes have the following meaning:

- **entity** – is the XML entry of the dictionary describing the whole paradigm of a word. Its attribute **type** specifies the word part of speech.
- **parad** – indicates the flexion class of the word, for verbs, nouns and adjectives.
- **vform** – is the element containing the inflected form the morphosyntactic description of which is described by the attribute **mood** = verbal mood, **tense** = verbal tense, **pers** = person, **nr** = number, **pol** = polarity, **gen** = gender, **rid** = root identifier. The values of these attributes are labels presented in section 3.2.1.

**glos** – is a slot for different notes referring to the entry.

(2) <entity type="verb">
  <parad>a-10</parad>
  <vform mood="inf" rid="0">uscă</vform>
  <vform mood="ind" tense="prez." pers="1" nr="sg." rid="1">uscă</vform>
  ……
  <root rid="1">usuc</root>
  <root rid="0">usc</root>
</entity>

Other examples of entries in XML dictionary are given in (3) for nouns and adjectives and in (4) for pronouns and determiners.

(3) <entity type="noun">
  <parad>nul-2</parad>
  <nform pos="s." gen="n." nr="sg." art="neart." case="n-a." rid="0">căsmos</nform>
  ……
  <root rid="0">cosmos</root>
</entity>

(4) <entity type="pronoun">
  <pform pos="det." gen="m-n." nr="sg." forma="acc" rid="0">acă</pform>
  ……
  <glos>determinator antepus</glos>
</entity>
The proper part of speech is indicated as the value of the attribute type of the element entry.

### 3.2.3. Diacritics

The diacritics and vowels marked with an accent are represented in Unicode encoding, with the following decimal codes:

- Without accent becomes ‘a’: \&#225; = â
- Without accent becomes ‘á’ (â): \&#7845; = âá
- Without accent becomes ‘i’: \&#237; = î
- Without accent becomes ‘u’: \&#250; = ũ
- Without accent becomes ‘ú’: \&#7855; = ũú
- Without accent becomes ‘i’ (î): \&#238; = î
- Without accent becomes ‘e’: \&#243; = ă
- Without accent becomes ‘o’: \&#259; = ă
- Without accent becomes ‘ă’: \&#266; = ă
- Without accent becomes ‘î’ (î): \&#351; = ă
- Without accent becomes ‘ú’: \&#355; = ă

For using the XML dictionary on Romanian written texts, one has to delete the accent marks as it was shown upper. For this XML description, there is already an interrogation tool on CD.

### 4. The syllable dictionary: RoSyllabiDict

#### 4.1 Building procedure

Building the Romanian syllable dictionary was a continuation of the morphological dictionary by that the previously inflected forms were then syllabified. For syllabifying, we used the following resources:

- A program implementing Romanian syllabification rules;
- The syllabification information that DOOM provides;
- An inventory of Romanian diphthongs and triphthongs.

The critical points in (Romanian) syllabification are sequences of vowels which can be pronounced as diphthongs/triphthongs or hiatus. In many cases, the pronunciation type cannot be inferred from the context, see Dinu (2003). For some entries, DOOM specifies the vowels in hiatus, for example, like this: adâugă (sil. -da-u-). Sometimes this information is given only for lemma, sometimes only for some inflected forms. There are a lot of hiatus situations which are not specified in DOOM. This description inconsistency was a source of errors in automatic processing.

We have applied our procedure on forms without accent, because our syllabification resources were like that and Romanian writing does not mark accents. But it is worth mentioning that one can get better results if the syllabification procedure takes into account stress information, since this reduces the number of diphthongs/hiatus ambiguities. For instance, the sequence -ei- can be a diphthong or a hiatus, but -ei- is always a hiatus. We have done some post-processing improvements, related to accent information, as well as some partial checkings of work.

The syllable dictionary has now 525,530 entries, whose format is shown in next section.

### 4.2 Entry format

RoSyllabiDict is also available in two variants: one in a text format on three columns and one in XML format.

#### 4.2.1. Three columns format

Entries on three columns have the following structure:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WORD</th>
<th>SYLLAB</th>
<th>OBS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>accept</td>
<td>ac-cépt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acceptă</td>
<td>ac-cep-tâ</td>
<td>v.perf.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acceptă</td>
<td>ac-cép-tâ</td>
<td>v.prez.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dezactivare</td>
<td>de-zac-ti-vá-re</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dezactivare</td>
<td>dez-ac-ti-vá-re</td>
<td>struct.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 4.2.2. The XML format

An entry in XML format of RoSyllabiDict is described with the element form, see example (7). The value of the attribute w (word) is the word the syllabification of which is given as the content of the element form. The value of the attribute obs (observation) indicates the situation for which the syllabification is valid, if it is the case (7b, c).

Actually, values of the attribute obs can refer to the type of syllabification or to the grammatical information. On the one hand, its value is the word ‘struct’ if the structural
syllabification has been applied. On the other hand, its value indicates the grammatical information proper for that syllabified form, in cases of homonyms. Homonyms are differentiated only if they show different syllabifications (or different accents, see (6b) upper), such as the word *aburi* (‘steam’) in (7c), which, as a verb, is syllabified ‘a-bu-ri’ (obs="v.inf/v.perf’), and, as a noun, ‘a-buri’ (obs="s.").

(7) a. `<form w="abandona" obs=""> a-ban-do-n</form>

b. `<form w="ignorant" obs=""> ig-no-r&nt</form>

  `<form w="ignorant" obs="struct"> i-gno-r</form>

  `<form w="ignorant" obs=""><nt</form>

c. `<form w="aburi" obs="v.inf/v.perf"> a-bu-ri</form>

`<form w="aburi" obs="s.""> &bt;</form>

Note that only syllabified form contains accent information, Unicode encoded as a vowel with an accent diacritic mark, like it is presented in section 3.2.3.

5. **Conclusion and further work**

The work presented here is meant to fill a void in the field of electronic resources for Romanian language. The resources will be made available on web, for free, at an address communicated by the author. The dictionaries will be enriched with new entries, corresponding to the recent edition of DOOM, in 2005. We do not intend to introduce new words from corpora, because not all the words in corpora enter the language and we want to keep our dictionaries as close as possible to normative works. Words in corpora can be registered in special dictionaries.
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